

A study on the *in-vitro* wear of the natural tooth structure by opposing zirconia or dental porcelain

Yu-Seok Jung¹, DDS, MSD, Jae-Whang Lee^{1,2}, DDS, PhD, Yeon-Jo Choi³, DDS, MSD, Jin-Soo Ahn⁴, DDS, PhD, Sang-Wan Shin^{1,2}, DDS, PhD, Jung-Bo Huh^{1,2*}, DDS, MSD

¹Advanced Prosthodontics, Graduate School of Clinical Dentistry, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

²Institute for Clinical Dental Research, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

³Department of Prosthodontics, Korea University Anam Hospital, Korea University, Seoul, Korea

⁴Department of Dental Biomaterials Science, School of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

PURPOSE. This study was conducted to evaluate clinical validity of a zirconia full-coverage crown by comparing zirconia's wear capacity over antagonistic teeth with that of feldspathic dental porcelain. **MATERIALS AND METHODS.** The subject groups were divided into three groups: the polished feldspathic dental porcelain group (Group 1), the polished zirconia group (Group 2), and the polished zirconia with glazing group (Group 3). Twenty specimens were prepared from each group. Each procedure such as plasticity, condensation, and glazing was conducted according to the manufacturer's manual. A wear test was conducted with 240,000 chewing cycles using a dual-axis chewing simulator. The degree of wear of the antagonistic teeth was calculated by measuring the volume loss using a three-dimensional profiling system and ANSUR 3D software. The statistical significance of the measured degree of wear was tested with a significant level of 5% using one-way ANOVA and the Tukey test. **RESULTS.** The degrees of wear of the antagonistic teeth were $0.119 \pm 0.059 \text{ mm}^3$ in Group 1, $0.078 \pm 0.063 \text{ mm}^3$ in Group 3, and $0.031 \pm 0.033 \text{ mm}^3$ in Group 2. Statistical significance was found between Group 1 and Groups 2 and between Group 2 and 3, whereas no statistical significance was found between Group 1 and Group 3. **CONCLUSION.** Despite the limitations of this study on the evaluation of antagonistic teeth wear, the degree of antagonistic tooth wear was less in zirconia than feldspathic dental porcelain, representing that the zirconia may be more beneficial in terms of antagonistic tooth wear. [J Adv Prosthodont 2010;2:111-5]

KEY WORDS. Zirconia, Ceramic, Tooth, Wear

INTRODUCTION

The frequency of esthetic restoration in prosthodontics has been increasing due to increased interest in esthetics. Due to this trend, there has been increasing clinical application of the all-ceramic crown, which is more esthetic and bio-friendly, whereas there has been decreasing clinical application of the metal ceramic crown, which is less esthetic due to the metal coping. As the all-ceramic crown has relatively higher embrittlement and lower tensile strength, its application has been particularly limited to fixed partial dentures.¹⁻⁴

To improve the embrittlement and lower tensile strength, reinforced dental porcelain was developed using aluminum oxide, leucite, lithium disilicate, and zirconia (zirconium oxide), and all-ceramic restoration has been applied not only to single tooth replacement, but also to fixed partial dentures.^{5,6}

In particular, the latest developed zirconia has a polymorphic

structure with chemical stability and volume stability, and suppresses crack progression via the volume extension caused by the transformation toughening mechanism that occurs during the phase transition. Due to these properties, zirconia has higher deflection and fracture strength than conventional dental porcelains, which is why its clinical use has been increasing.^{7,8}

A zirconia full-coverage crown without veneering dental porcelain was recently released (Zirkonzahn prettau; Zirkonzahn GmbH, Bruneck, Italy). The zirconia full-coverage crown without veneering dental porcelain has advantages in that no dental porcelain is fractured due to the absence of an upper structure in it, and more strength can be obtained even in the case of less abutment removal using zirconia with strong hardness to manufacture the crown, compared to previous all-ceramic crowns. On the other hand, the zirconia full-coverage crown has the disadvantage of the abrasion of the

Corresponding author: Jung-Bo Huh

Advanced Prosthodontics, Graduate School of Clinical Dentistry, Institute for clinical dental research, Korea University, Gurodong 97, Gurogu, Seoul, Korea
Tel. 82 2 626 1922; e-mail, neoplasia96@korea.ac.kr

Received August 6, 2010 / Last Revision August 30, 2010 / Accepted September 6, 2010

© 2010 The Korean Academy of Prosthodontics

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

opposing natural tooth upon the formation of the occlusal surface with zirconia. No study on the abrasion of the antagonistic natural tooth by zirconia has been conducted so far, though.

Accordingly, this study was conducted to evaluate the clinical validity of the zirconia full-coverage crown by comparing zirconia's wear capacity over an antagonistic tooth with that of feldspathic dental porcelain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Materials

Zirkonzahn prettau® (Zirkonzahn GmbH, Bruneck, Italy) and feldspathic dental porcelain [Vita Omega 900® (Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany)] were used for the present study testing antagonistic tooth wear. Maxillary premolars extracted for orthodontic purpose were used as antagonistic teeth.

B. Methods

1. Preparation of the specimens

The dental specimens were produced by embedding the premolars that were recently extracted for orthodontic demands. The teeth were embedded in acrylic resin mould with only the buccal cusp exposed. The teeth with worn-out cusps or too sharp or fractured teeth were excluded from the subjects.⁹

2. Preparation of the dental porcelain specimens

The dental porcelain specimens, the control group, were pro-

duced into a cuboid with a width of 20 mm, a breadth of 10 mm, and a height of 5 mm, according to the manufacturer's manual; then the specimen surface was ground finished with 1200-grit silicone carbide abrasive under water cooling. These specimens were designated as Group 1.

3. Preparation of the zirconia specimens

The zirconia specimens underwent plasticity and were then produced into cuboids with a width of 20 mm, a breadth of 10 mm, and a height of 5 mm, according to the manufacturer's manual. After the specimen's surface was ground with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper, it was designated as Group 2. The polished specimens that underwent glazing were additionally designated as Group 3.

4. Wear testing machine

A wear test was conducted using the chewing simulator CS-4.8 (SD Mechatronik, Feldkirchen-Westerham, Germany) which has eight chambers simulating the vertical and horizontal movements simultaneously in the thermodynamic condition. Each of the chambers consists of an upper sample holder that can fasten the specimen with a screw and a lower plastic sample holder in which the specimen can be embedded (Fig. 1).

The dental specimens were embedded in acrylic resin in the lower sample holder (Fig. 2), for use as antagonistic wear materials. The dental porcelain and the zirconia were embedded in acrylic resin in the upper sample holder, and were then fixed with a fastening screw (Fig. 3).




Fig. 1. Specimen chamber.




Fig. 2. Human premolar cusps embedded in acrylic resin (self-cure) in the lower sample holder.




Fig. 3. Antagonistic samples embedded in acrylic resin, which was fixed into the upper sample holders.

Table 1. Materials and surface conditions of the test specimens

	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
Antagonist	Omega 900® polished with a 1,200-grit abrasive	Zirkonzahn prettau® polished with a 1,200-grit abrasive	Zirkonzahn prettau® with glazing
Numbers	20	20	20

Table 2. Test parameters

Cold/hot bath temperature:	5°C/55°C	Dwell time:	60 s
Vertical movement:	6 mm	Horizontal movement:	0.3 mm
Rising speed:	55 mm/s	Forward speed:	30 mm/s
Descending speed:	30 mm/s	Backward speed:	55 mm/s
Weight per sample:	5 kg	Cycle frequency	0.8 Hz
Kinetic energy	2,250 × 10 ⁶ J		

Table 3. Statistical comparison of the groups using the Tukey test

Groups	Omega 900® (1,200-grit abrasive paper)	Zirkonzahn prettau® (1,200-grit abrasive paper)	Zirkonzahn prettau® (glazing)
Omega 900®(1,200-grit abrasive paper)	-----	0.000*	0.099
Zirkonzahn prettau®(1,200-grit abrasive paper)	0.000*	-----	0.008*
Zirkonzahn prettau®(glazing)	0.099	0.008*	-----

*Statistically significant.

5. Wear test

A weight of 5 kg, which is comparable to 49 N of chewing force,^{10,11} was exerted. According to previous studies, as 240,000 - 250,000 loading cycles in a chewing simulator are comparable to approximately one-year chewing from a clinical perspective,¹²⁻¹⁴ the wear test was repeated 240,000 times to clinically simulate the one-year chewing condition, accompanying thermocycling (Table 2). The three-dimensional (3D) surfaces before and after the wear test were scanned using a 3D profiling system (MTS System Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN, USA), and the actual volume loss of the specimens was calculated with a computer by overlapping the 3D surfaces before and after the wear test using a 3D software (ANSUR 3D, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

6. Statistics

The mean and standard deviation of the test parameters were calculated using SPSS (Ver. 12.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The statistical significance of the mean difference of each parameter was tested with a significant level of 5% using one-way ANOVA and the Tukey test.

RESULTS

The degrees of wear of the antagonistic teeth based on the restorative materials were 0.119 ± 0.059 mm³ (the greatest degree in the group where Vita Omega 900® dental porcelain was polished with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper), 0.078 ± 0.063 mm³ (the second greatest degree in the group that underwent glazing of Zirkonzahn prettau® according to the manufacturer's manual), and 0.031 ± 0.033 mm³ (the lowest degree in the group where Zirkonzahn prettau® was polished with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper (Fig. 4).

The one-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference among the groups, and the results of the Tukey test are presented in Table 3.

The degree of wear of the antagonistic teeth based on the restorative materials was four times higher in the group wherein Vita Omega 900® dental porcelain was polished with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper than in the group wherein Zirkonzahn prettau® was polished with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper, and they showed a statistically significant difference. The degree of wear of the antagonistic teeth based on the restorative materials was two times higher in the




Fig. 4. Box plots of the volume loss (mm³) after 240,000 loading cycles.

group that underwent glazing of Zirkozahn prettau[®] according to the manufacturer's manual than in the group wherein Zirkozahn prettau[®] was polished with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper, and they showed no statistically significant difference. The degree of wear of the antagonistic teeth based on the restorative materials was two times higher in the group wherein Vita Omega 900[®] dental porcelain was polished with a sheet of 1,200-grit abrasive paper than in the group that underwent glazing of Zirkozahn prettau[®] according to the manufacturer's manual, and they showed no statistically significant difference.

DISCUSSION

Dental wear is defined as tooth loss or surface damage caused by direct contact between teeth or between teeth and other materials. Dental wear, one of the physiological phenomena that are experienced in a lifetime, occurs as a complex form of chemical and mechanical wear.¹⁵

Dental wear of natural teeth is considered normal. If restorative dental materials have different wear properties compared to the natural teeth, however, they can change the wear rate of antagonistic natural teeth.¹⁶ In particular, excessive wear on the occlusal surface can cause an abnormal load and result in periodontal diseases, and can also cause temporomandibular disorders due to the vertical dimension, loss of centric occlusion, diagonal teeth, functional route change during chewing, or masticatory muscle fatigue.^{17,19,20} Therefore, wear that occurs between the enamel of teeth and restorations is a very important factor that should be considered in the selection of restorative materials in clinical practice. Seghi suggested that a restorative dental material should have a wear degree similar to that of the enamel.²¹

Dental porcelain was introduced approximately 100 years ago, and has been used for more natural and esthetic restorations. It has a few disadvantages, such as dental porcelain fracture and excessive wear of antagonistic teeth.²²

Zirconia has a polymorphic structure with chemical stability and volume stability, and suppresses crack progression via the volume extension caused by the transformation toughening mechanism that occurs during the phase transition. Due to these properties, zirconia has higher deflection and fracture strength than conventional dental porcelains, which is why its clinical use is increasing.^{7,8} The zirconia full-coverage crown (Zirkozahn prettau[®]) was recently released. This product has a few improved characteristics such as greater transparency than that of the previous zirconia, a color liquid that can express the dentin's color tone, and a stain that can be directly applied to the zirconia. In addition, the zirconia full-coverage crown has advantages in that no dental porcelain is fractured due to the absence of an upper structure, and more strength can be obtained even in the case of less abutment removal using zirconia with strong hardness to manufacture the crown compared

to previous all-ceramic crowns.

The surface hardness and friction coefficient are commonly used to estimate the degree of wear of restorative dental materials. Conventionally, greater hardness has been believed to cause more wear. Therefore, more wear was expected from zirconia, as zirconia has strong surface hardness. According to scientific studies, however, there is no significant correlation between the restoration hardness and the degree of wear of antagonistic teeth. On the other hand, the degree of wear is more affected by the surface structure and the roughness of the restorations or environmental factors.²⁴

A wear test was conducted to investigate the degree of wear of antagonistic teeth with zirconia using a dual-axis chewing simulator. Compared to previous wear tests, the vertical and horizontal movements were more accurately simulated with a computer, the degrees of wear were more accurately compared using volume rather than height, and the condition of the oral cavity was more realistically simulated with the accompanying thermocycling.²⁵

According to a previous study led by DeLong *et al.*¹⁷ on dental wear caused by dental porcelain, 300,000 chewing cycles showed a volume decrease of $0.162 \pm 0.057 \text{ mm}^3$. That result is significantly correlated to this study's resulting in volume decrease of $0.119 \pm 0.059 \text{ mm}^3$ in 240,000 chewing cycles with feldspathic dental porcelain. In this study, the degree of wear of the antagonistic teeth was much lower in zirconia than in dental porcelain. This is likely to be attributable to the fact that zirconia is harder but softer than dental porcelain. More wear was shown in the polished zirconia group with glazing than in the polished zirconia group. This result is likely to be attributable to the fact that porcelain composite was added in the glazing process. Therefore, the polished zirconia full-coverage crown without glazing is more effective in reducing antagonistic teeth wear.

Wear in the oral cavity can be classified into two-bodied wear and three-bodied wear.¹⁸ Two-bodied wear is wear in the condition of the saliva alone, whereas three-bodied wear is wear in the condition of other mediators such as food and paste, besides saliva.¹⁸ This study measured two-bodied wear, with the limitation that the complex wear phenomena were not fully simulated. Therefore, long-term clinical follow-up will be required for the zirconia full-coverage crown. In addition, a study on the effect of zirconia weakness caused by tetragonal change due to chewing force or water based on direct zirconia exposure upon clinical application of zirconia will also be required.

CONCLUSION

Despite the limitations of this study on the evaluation of antagonistic teeth wear, less wear of antagonistic teeth was shown with zirconia than with the previous feldspathic dental porcelain. As for the zirconia surface process, the degree of wear of

the antagonistic teeth was less in the polished zirconia group than in the polished zirconia group with glazing, but no statistically significant difference was found. It is likely that the polished zirconia full-coverage crown without glazing is more effective in reducing antagonistic teeth wear.

REFERENCES

1. Ardlin BI. Transformation-toughened zirconia for dental inlays, crowns and bridges: chemical stability and effect of low-temperature aging on flexural strength and surface structure. *Dent Mater* 2002;18:590-5.
2. Sobrinho LC, Cattell MJ, Glover RH, Knowles JC. Investigation of the dry and wet fatigue properties of three all-ceramic crown systems. *Int J Prosthodont* 1998;11:255-62.
3. Campbell SD, Sozio RB. Evaluation of the fit and strength of an all-ceramic fixed partial denture. *J Prosthet Dent* 1988;59:301-6.
4. Nakamura T, Ohyama T, Imanishi A, Nakamura T, Ishigaki S. Fracture resistance of pressable glass-ceramic fixed partial dentures. *J Oral Rehabil* 2002;29:951-5.
5. Komine F, Tomic M, Gerds T, Strub JR. Influence of different adhesive resin cements on the fracture strength of aluminum oxide ceramic posterior crowns. *J Prosthet Dent* 2004;92:359-64.
6. Blatz MB. Long-term clinical success of all-ceramic posterior restorations. *Quintessence Int* 2002;33:415-26.
7. Piconi C, Maccauro G. Zirconia as a ceramic biomaterial. *Biomaterials* 1999;20:1-25.
8. Guazzato M, Albakry M, Ringer SP, Swain MV. Strength, fracture toughness and microstructure of a selection of all-ceramic materials. Part I. Pressable and alumina glass-infiltrated ceramics. *Dent Mater* 2004;20:441-8.
9. Fisher RM, Moore BK, Swartz ML, Dykema RW. The effects of enamel wear on the metal-porcelain interface. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983;50:627-31.
10. Krejci I, Lutz F, Gautschi L. Wear and marginal adaptation of composite resin inlays. *J Prosthet Dent* 1994;72:233-44.
11. Krejci I, Mueller E, Lutz F. Effects of thermocycling and occlusal force on adhesive composite crowns. *J Dent Res* 1994;73:1228-32.
12. DeLong R, Sakaguchi RL, Douglas WH, Pintado MR. The wear of dental amalgam in an artificial mouth: a clinical correlation. *Dent Mater* 1985;1:238-42.
13. Sakaguchi RL, Douglas WH, DeLong R, Pintado MR. The wear of a posterior composite in an artificial mouth: a clinical correlation. *Dent Mater* 1986;2:235-40.
14. Krejci I, Lutz F. In vitro evaluation of two composite material for posterior restorations. *Stomatol DDR* 1990;40:326-32.
15. De Gee AJ, Pallav P, Davidson CL. Effect of abrasion medium on wear of stress-bearing composites and amalgam in vitro. *J Dent Res* 1986;65:654-8.
16. Sulong MZ, Aziz RA. Wear of materials used in dentistry: a review of the literature. *J Prosthet Dent* 1990;63:342-9.
17. DeLong R, Sasik C, Pintado MR, Douglas WH. The wear of enamel when opposed by ceramic systems. *Dent Mater* 1989;5:266-71.
18. Harrison A. Wear of combinations of acrylic resin and porcelain, on an abrasion testing machine. *J Oral Rehabil* 1978;5:111-5.
19. Mahalick JA, Knap FJ, Weiter EJ. Occusal wear in prosthodontics. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1971;82:154-9.
20. Gallegos LI, Nicholls JJ. In vitro two-body wear of three veneering resins. *J Prosthet Dent* 1988;60:172-8.
21. Seghi RR, Rosenstiel SF, Bauer P. Abrasion of human enamel by different dental ceramics in vitro. *J Dent Res* 1991;70:221-5.
22. Coornaert J, Adriaens P, De Boever J. Long-term clinical study of porcelain-fused-to-gold restorations. *J Prosthet Dent* 1984;51:338-42.
23. Wiley MG. Effects of porcelain on occluding surfaces of restored teeth. *J Prosthet Dent* 1989;61:133-7.
24. Oh WS, DeLong R, Anusavice KJ. Factors affecting enamel and ceramic wear: a literature review. *J Prosthet Dent* 2002;87:451-9.
25. DeLong R, Douglas WH, Sakaguchi RL, Pintado MR. The wear of dental porcelain in an artificial mouth. *Dent Mater* 1986;2:214-9.